WHAT'S BEST FOR YOUR ORGANISATION: TRADITIONAL OR HEADLESS CMS?

BELOW IS A COMPARISON BETWEEN TRADITIONAL CMS AND HEADLESS CMS.

Aspect	Traditional CMS	Headless CMS
Architecture and reach	One-to-one	One-to-many
Hosting	In-house	Cloud-based
Framework	Monolithic:frontend and backend are linked	API based:frontend and backend are separated
Developer	Legacy	Contemporary
Iteration	Long	Rapid
Development speed	Fast	Time-consuming
Device support	Limited	Unlimited
Project management methodology	Waterfall (generally)	Agile (exclusively)
Platform	Dependent	Independent
Compatibility	Presentation of content limited by the CMS	Any presentation technology may be used
Tech stack	Fixed	Substitutable
Security	Requires periodic updates	Less susceptible to DDoS attacks because of its modular framework
Server downtime	Affects front-end and back-end	Affects back-end only
Cost	Relatively affordable	More expensive
Popular Products	WordPress, Drupal, Joomla	Strapi, Directus, Contentful, Sanity, Prismic, Storyblok, Cockpit, Mura